

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | F    | ILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/270,844           |      | 03/18/1999   | SHINICHI HAGIWARA    | 35.G2367              | 3085             |
| 5514                 | 7590 | 01/28/2005   |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |
| FITZPATI<br>30 ROCKE |      | LLA HARPER & | RAO, ANAND           | RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT |                  |
| NEW YOR              |      |              | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER          |                  |
|                      |      |              |                      | 2612                  |                  |

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | -  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 09/270,844   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  |  | HAGIWARA, SHINICHI  |  |  |  |  |
|   | •  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication and   | Andy S. Rao  | 2613  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| - Extensi<br>after SI<br>- If the po<br>- If NO p<br>- Failure<br>- Any rep   | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ariod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) it | y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. AONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[🛚  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14%  | April 0003   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | <b></b>  | is action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | / <b>-</b>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ C   | laim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application   | l <u>.</u>   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ C   | laim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.   |  | ·   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)∐ Th  | e specification is objected to by the Examiner   | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)∐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠   | All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |  | .,,,,   |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  | Certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.  | Certified copies of the priority documents   | have been received in  | Application No.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Bulls 17, 2(x))  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) 🔲 Notice of  | References Cited (PTO-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  | v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| TO-326 (Rev. 0-   | 4 64.  | on Summary   | Part of Paper No. 15  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2613

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed in Paper 14 on 4/14/03 with respect to amended claims 1-18 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. The Applicant presents three arguments contending the Examiner's previously pending rejection of claim 1-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugiyama in view of Kazumi, as was set forth in Paper 13 on 12/13/02, and uses these arguments as the basis for patentability of the newly amended claims as presented in Paper 14 on 4/14/02 that now recite "enlarging the images and displaying the enlarged images..." as in independent claims 1, 6, 10, and 15. However after consideration the arguments presented, and upon further scrutiny of the primary Sugiyama reference, the Examiner must respectfully disagree and maintain the applicability of the Sugiyama-Kazumi combination as the grounds for rejection in addressing the newly amended claims 1-18 for the reasons that follow.

Firstly, after summarizing the basis for the amendment and view of the references (Paper 14: page, 7, lines 1-20; page 8, lines 1-20; page 9, lines 1-8), the Applicant argues firstly, that Sugiyama "may teach reading information used in image recording...to display..." (Paper 14: page 9, lines 9-13). The Examiner asserts that it does teach "...displaying..." as in the claim. In particular, it is noted that in the specific definition for trimming information (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 62-67), the primary reference discloses:

"Trimming information: information relating to instructing the range, which is regenerated on a monitor, from a frame as a whole, and kinds of positions of trimming..."

 $\mathbb{C}$ 

Art Unit: 2613

Page 3

This establishes that the not only the information, but its effects on the regenerated images from film are displayed on the monitor. Accordingly, the Examiner maintains that all the images are first processed in accordance with the automatic regeneration information and then displayed after being processed in accordance with the discerned automatic regeneration information.

Secondly, the applicant argues that the secondary reference fails to disclose the ability to "...display the enlarged images such that they...camera..." as in the amended claims (Paper 14: page 9, lines 14-21; page 10, lines 1-13). However, in response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In particular, the amended feature of claims 1-18 will be shown to be addressed by the primary Sugiyama reference, and therefore, the feature would be met by Kazumi's combination with Sugiyama, and would not have to show this feature in of itself.

Thirdly, the applicant argues that the primary fails to address "...display the enlarged images such that they "...enlarging images recorded...and...displaying the enlarged images...are centered..." as in the claims (Paper 14: page 10, lines 14-21; page 11, lines 1-2). The Examiner disagrees. It is noted that the primary Sugiyama references teaches both "magnification" and "trimming" functions as a part of regeneration (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 22-26 and 60-65). When implemented simultaneously, such a process would result in the magnification of a trimmed image, or the displaying of an "...enlarged image..." as in a manner that would read on the claims. Furthermore, with the fact that the Examiner has already shown that the "trimming function" has a resultant display output, Sugiyama meets the "...enlarging

Art Unit: 2613

images recorded...and...displaying the enlarged images..." of the added limitations. As to addressing the "...centered around..." feature of the limitation, it is further noted that Sugiyama discloses that magnification is more specifically characterized by "close-up information..." (Sugiyama: column 9, lines 5-10) which is:

"information relating to magnification and a central position of magnification..."

This establishes the ability for the Sugiyama reference to address the "centered around..." feature, which is a sub-function of the magnification process. Therefore, all that is need from the secondary teaching is the already disclosed teaching of the "...focus detection points..." which would be incorporated for the reasons as disclosed in the accompanying rejection. Accordingly, the Examiner maintains that this limitation would be met by the Sugiyama teaching.

A detailed rejection follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugiyama in view of Kazumi.

Sugiyama discloses an apparatus that displays images recorded by a camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 37-45), said apparatus comprising: a reading device (Sugiyama: column 4, lines

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/270,844

Art Unit: 2613

58-60) that reads information used in image recording by the camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 45-50); and an output device that outputs signals enlarging the images (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 22-25 & 60-65) and displaying images (Sugiyama: column 14, lines 40-60) based on the information used by said reading device (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 17-25), as in claim 1. However, Sugiyama fails to disclose the use of focus area information read by said reading device, such that the display image are centered around the focus area (Sugiyama: column 9, lines 5-10) used in image recording by the camera. Kazumi discloses that for a camera taking photographs (Kazumi column 2, lines 17-45), it is known to record focus area information for subsequent display, such that the display images are centered around the focus area used in image recording by the camera (Kazumi: column 10, lines 65-68; column 11, lines 1-5; column 14, lines 1-22 & 52-68) in order to aid in video picture reproduction (Kazumi: column 16, lines 45-68; column 17, lines 1-2) and further discloses recording this information on a magnetic medium (Kazumi: column 16, lines 35-43). Accordingly, given this advantageous teaching of recording and implementing focus area information for a captured image as by Kazumi, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the focus area information as information to be used for Sugiyama's image display apparatus in order to aid in the automatic zoom feature disclosed therein (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 23-25). The Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has all of the features of claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, the Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has that the focus area information representing a position of the focus area used in the image recording by the camera (Kazumi: column 11, lines 2-5; column 14, lines 9-11), as in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/270,844 Page 6

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 3, the Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has the output device processing the images recorded by the camera so that a position of the focus area used in the image recording by the camera is centered in a display, and outputs signals for displaying the processed images (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 15-30; column 9, lines 5-10). as in the claim.

Regarding claim 4, the Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has the output device using as a reference point a position of the focus area used in the image recording by the camera to enlarge the images recorded by the camera, and outputs signals for displaying the enlarged images (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 20-25 & 60-65), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 5, the Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has a reading device that includes a magnetic head that reads magnetic information recorded on a photographic film used in the camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 58-60), as specified.

Sugiyama discloses an apparatus that displays images recorded by a camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 37-45), said apparatus comprising: an image capture sensor for capturing images optically recorded on a photographic film (Sugiyama: column 5, lines 5-35); a reading device (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 58-60) that reads information magnetically recorded on the photographic film (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 35-50); and an output device that processes and outputs the images captured by the image-capture sensor to enlarge the images (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 22-25 & 60-65) and display the enlarged images (Sugiyama: column 14, lines 40-60) by using the information read said reading device (Sugiyama: column 6, lines 45-60),

Art Unit: 2613

including information regarding a focus area used during image capture (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 17-25), such that the displayed enlarged images are centered around the focus area (Sugiyama: column 9, lines 5-10) used in image recording by the camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 17-25)as in claim 6. However, Sugiyama fails to disclose the use of focus area information as the read information for display, such that the display images are centered around the focus area used in image recording by the camera. Kazumi discloses that for a camera taking photographs (Kazumi column 2, lines 17-45), it is known to record focus area information for subsequent display, such that the display images are centered around the focus area used in image recording by the camera (Kazumi: column 10, lines 65-68; column 11, lines 1-5; column 14, lines 1-22 & 52-68) in order to aid in video picture reproduction (Kazumi: column 16, lines 45-68; column 17, lines 1-2) and further discloses recording this information on a magnetic medium (Kazumi: column 16, lines 35-43). Accordingly, given this advantageous teaching of recording and implementing focus area information for a captured image as by Kazumi, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the focus area information as information to be used for Sugiyama's image display apparatus in order to aid in the automatic zoom feature disclosed therein (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 23-25). The Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has all of the features of claim 6.

Regarding claim 7, the Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has a storage device that stores images captured by said image capture sensor, wherein said output device processes and outputs images stored in said storage device (Sugiyama: column 7, lines 30-65), as in the claim.

Art Unit: 2613

Page 8

Regarding claim 8, the Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has the output device processing the images recorded by the camera so that a position of the focus area used in the image recording by the camera is centered in a display, and outputs signals for displaying the processed images (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 15-30; column 9, lines 5-10), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 9, the Sugiyama apparatus, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has the output device using as a reference point a position of the focus area used in the image recording by the camera to enlarge the images recorded by the camera, and outputs signals for displaying the enlarged images (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 20-25 & 60-65), as in the claim.

Sugiyama discloses a method that displays images recorded by a camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 37-45), said method comprising the steps of: reading information used in image capture (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 45-50); outputting signals enlarging and displaying images (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 22-25 & 60-65) based on the information used by said reading device in the reading step, such that the displayed enlarged images are centered around the focus area (Sugiyama: column 9, lines 5-10) used in recording by the camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 17-25), as in claim 10. However, Sugiyama fails to disclose the use of focus area information as the read information in the reading step, such that the display images are centered around the focus area used in image recording by the camera. Kazumi discloses that for a camera taking photographs (Kazumi column 2, lines 17-45), it is known to record focus area information for subsequent display, such that the display images are centered around the focus area used in the image recording by the camera (Kazumi: column 10, lines 65-68; column 11, lines 1-5;

Art Unit: 2613

Page 9

column 14, lines 1-22 & 52-68) in order to aid in video picture reproduction (Kazumi: column 16, lines 45-68; column 17, lines 1-2) and further discloses recording this information on a magnetic medium (Kazumi: column 16, lines 35-43). Accordingly, given this advantageous teaching of recording and implementing focus area information for a captured image as by Kazumi, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the focus area information as information to be used for Sugiyama's image display method in order to aid in the automatic zoom feature disclosed therein (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 23-25). The Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has all of the features of claim 10.

Regarding claim 11, the Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has that focus area information representing a position of said focus area used in the image recording by said camera (Kazumi: column 11, lines 2-5; column 14, lines 9-11), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 12, the Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has the outputting step including processing the images recorded by said camera so that a position of said focus area used in the image recording by said camera is centered in a display, and outputs signals for displaying the processed images (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 15-30; column 9, lines 5-10), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 13, the Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has the outputting step using as a reference point a position of said focus area used in the image recording by said camera to enlarge the images recorded by said camera, and

Art Unit: 2613

Page 10

outputs signals for displaying the enlarged images (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 20-25 & 60-65), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 14, the Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has the reading step includes a magnetic head that reads magnetic information recorded on a photographic film used in said camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 58-60), as specified.

Sugiyama discloses a method that displays images recorded by a camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 37-45), said method comprising: capturing images by an image capture sensor optically recorded on a photographic film (Sugiyama: column 5, lines 5-35); reading information magnetically recorded on the photographic film (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 35-50); and processing and outputting images captured by the image-capture sensor to enlarge the images and to display the enlarged images (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 22-25 & 60-65) by using the information read said reading device (Sugiyama: column 6, lines 45-60), including information regarding a focus area used during image capture, such that the displayed enlarged images (Sugiyama: column 14, lines 40-60) are centered around the focus area used in the image recording by the camera (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 17-25), as in claim 15. However, Sugiyama fails to disclose the use of focus area information as the read information in the reading step, such that the display images are centered around the focus area used in image recording by the camera. Kazumi discloses that for a camera taking photographs (Kazumi column 2, lines 17-45), it is known to record focus area information for subsequent display, such that the display images are centered around the focus area used in image recording by the camera (Kazumi: column 10, lines 65-68; column 11, lines 1-5; column 14, lines 1-22 & 52-68) in order to aid in video picture

Art Unit: 2613

Page 11

reproduction (Kazumi: column 16, lines 45-68, column 17, lines 1-2) and further discloses recording this information on a magnetic medium (Kazumi: column 16, lines 35-43).

Accordingly, given this advantageous teaching of recording and implementing focus area information for a captured image as by Kazumi, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the focus area information as information to be used for Sugiyama's image display method in order to aid in the automatic zoom feature disclosed therein (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 23-25). The Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has all of the features of claim 15.

Regarding claim 16, the Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has a storing step that stores images captured by said image capture sensor, wherein said processing and outputting step processes and outputs the stored image (Sugiyama: column 7, lines 30-65), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 17, the Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has processing and outputting step including processing of the images recorded by the camera so that a position of the focus area used in the image recording by the camera is centered in a display, and outputs signals for displaying the processed images (Sugiyama: column 4, lines 15-30; column 9, lines 5-10), as in the claim.

Regarding claim 18, the Sugiyama method, now incorporating Kazumi's use of focus area information, has the processing and outputting step includes using as a reference point a position of said focus area used in the image recording by said camera to enlarge the images recorded by said camera, and outputs signals for displaying the enlarged images (Sugiyama: column 8, lines 20-25 & 60-65), as in the claim.

Art Unit: 2613

Page 12

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy S. Rao whose telephone number is (703)-305-4813. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S. Kelley can be reached on (703)-305-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-6606 for regular communications and (703)-308-6606 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-4700.

Art Unit: 2613

Page 13

Andy S. Rao Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

ANDY RAO PRIMARY EXAMINER

asr June 26, 2003